1 2	ADAM J. BREEDEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 008768 BREEDEN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 7432 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 101	
3 4 5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Phone: (702) 819-7770 Fax: (702) 819-7771 Adam@Breedenandassociates.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6	Thorneys for I turning	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9		
10	LATIA ALEXANDER, individually as heir of ISAIAH T. WILLIAMS and in her capacity as	CASE NO. 2:24-cv-00074-APG-NJK
11	Special Administrator of the Estate of ISAĬAH T. WILLIAMS,	
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN AND
14	LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE	SCHEDULING ORDER
15	DEPARTMENT, a political subdivision of the State of Nevada; KERRY KUBLA, in his	SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED
16	individual capacity; BRICE CLEMENTS, in	REQUESTED
17	his individual capacity; ALEX GONZALES, in his individual capacity; RUSSELL	
18	BACKMAN, in his individual capacity; JAMES ROTHENBURG, in his individual	
19	capacity; JAMES BERTUCCINI, in his individual capacity; DOES I-XX, inclusive,	
20		
21	Defendants.	
22	The parties held a scheduling conference under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) on	
23	March 8, 2024. The parties now submit their proposed discovery plan and scheduling order with	
24	deadlines longer than set forth in LR 26-1(b) and a special scheduling review requested.	
25	1. <u>Discovery Cut-Off Date</u> . The Defendants answered or otherwise appeared on	
26	February 5, 2024. The discovery of	cut-off date is February 5, 2025.
27	2. <u>Amending the Pleadings and Add</u>	ling Parties. The deadline to amend the pleadings

and add parties is October 4, 2024.

- ••

- 3. <u>Expert and Rebuttal-Expert Disclosures</u>. The deadline to disclose experts is **November 5, 2024**. The deadline to disclose rebuttal experts is **December 5, 2024**.
 - 4. Dispositive Motions. The deadline to file dispositive motions is **March 5, 2025.**
 - 5. Pretrial Order. The deadline to file a pretrial order is April 7, 2025.
- 6. <u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3)</u>. The disclosures required by this rule and any objections to them must be included in the joint pretrial order.
- 7. <u>Alternative Dispute Resolution</u>. The parties certify that they met and conferred about the possibility of using alternative dispute-resolution processes including mediation, arbitration, and if applicable, early neutral evaluation. The parties agreed that discovery and expert practice would likely need to occur before any meaningful settlement negotiations could occur in this case.
- 8. <u>Alternative Forms of Case Disposition</u>. The parties certify that they considered consent to trial by a magistrate judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and the use of the Short Trial Program (General Order 2013-01). They do not desire either at this time.
- 9. <u>Electronic Evidence</u>. The parties certify that they discussed whether to present evidence in electronic format to jurors for the purpose of jury deliberations. The parties see no unusual issues related to electronic evidence at this time, with the exception that over 100 hours of body worn camera video has been electronically produced.
- 10. Special Scheduling Review. Counsel for the parties conferred with each other at the Rule 26(f) conference and agreed that this was the rare case where one year would be needed for discovery and have submitted this Plan based on that proposed deadline. Both counsel agree on this and wish to express to the Court the following factors that justify this extended period of discovery: This is a civil rights action which arises out of a fatal, officer-involved shooting which occurred on January 10, 2022. The deceased was a 19 year old black man who was shot and killed as a police SWAT team forcibly entered an apartment to serve a search warrant. As an officer-involved fatal shooting, there were detailed post-incident investigations by Defendant Las Vegas Metro Police Department. Dozens of police officers—many of which will likely be deposed—were involved. Well over 100 hours of body worn camera footage and recorded statements have been disclosed. Each side has produced several thousand pages of documents in their initial disclosures. Each side

acknowledges that written discovery will be robust and that more than 10 depositions by Plaintiff		
may be needed. Each side anticipates the retention of multiple experts in various fields to testify at		
trial. Plaintiff intends to see an eight-figure award at trial. While counsel for both parties are		
seasoned litigation professionals, both agreed that it was extremely unlikely either side could		
prepare their case without thorough discovery and extended deadlines. Therefore, this extended		
discovery plan is submitted for one year of discovery and counsel sincerely hope the Court can		
understand why the extended time for discovery is needed to prepare this particular case.		
IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED.		
Dated this 11 th day of March, 2024.	Dated this 11th day of March, 2024.	
BREEDEN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC	MARQUIS AURBACH	
/s/ Adam J. Breeden	/s/ Craig Anderson	
ADAM J. BREEDEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 008768 7432 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 101 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Phone: (702) 819-7770 Fax: (702) 819-7771 Adam@Breedenandassociates.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	CRAIG R. ANDERSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6882 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, NV 89145 Phone: (702) 382-0711 Fax: (702) 382-5816 canderson@maclaw.com Attorney for Defendants	
IT IS SO ORDERED		
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
DATED:		